OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE
JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM (JAG)

Title Page (4 points)

A. Applicant Agency: Clark County, Nevada
B. Address: 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy, Las Vegas, NV 89106
C. Project Title: SCOPE II Enhancements
D. Project Period: From: November 1, 2011 To: June 30, 2014
E. Authorized Purpose Area: (one area only) Evaluation, and Technology Improvement
F. If your County or City received a direct award, did you apply? N
G. If yes, enter amount

H. Project Director:
   Name: Laura Fucci
   Address: 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy
   Telephone: 702-455-5853
   E-mail: jmwell@co.clark.nv.us

I. Fiscal Officer:
   Name: Damon Harris
   Address: 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy
   Telephone: 702-455-5163
   E-mail: damon@co.clark.nv.us

J. Project Contact Person:
   Name: Julie Black
   Address: 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy
   Telephone: 702-455-6491
   E-mail: jnliel@co.clark.nv.us

K. Federal ID Number: 886000028
L. DUNS Number: 00210289
M. Has agency registered with the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) database? X Yes No
### Previous JAG Funding:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Grant Number</th>
<th>Federal $ Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Project Number 10-JAG-07</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010-DJ-BX-0933</td>
<td>$468,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Henderson FY10 Local JAG</td>
<td>$66,447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010-DJ-BX-1136</td>
<td>$198,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Project Number 09-ARRA-06</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009-DJ-BX-0466</td>
<td>$808,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2009-DJ-BX-0641</td>
<td>$441,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2007-DJ-BX-1380</td>
<td>$729,448</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### This 2011 Application Budget Summary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Federal $ Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant/Contract</td>
<td>$1,947,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel/Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies/Operating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>$475,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidential Funds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL FEDERAL $ REQUESTED</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,309,831</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Certification:

The signature of the authorized official of the agency making application hereby agrees that the project described in this application meets all the requirements of the applicable governing legislation as indicated by the Certifications sections attached; that all the information contained in the application is correct; that there has been appropriate coordination with affected agencies and agrees to comply with all provisions of the applicable grant program including the enhanced reporting requirements. The applicant further understands and agrees that any subgrant award received as a result of this application shall be subject additionally to the grant conditions set forth in the Statement of Grant Award, and the current applicable OCJA Project Director's Manual.

Name: Laura Fucci
Title: CIO
Signature: [Signature]
Telephone: 702-455-5853
Fax: 702-455-4932
Date: 4/7/2011
The Nevada Department of Public Safety, City of North Las Vegas Police Department, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Henderson Police Department, Clark County (District Attorney, District and Justice Courts), and the City of Las Vegas Detention and Enforcement have initiated a collaboration to bring in a new Shared Computer Operations for Protection and Enforcement II (SCOPE II) system. There are approximately 11,000 law enforcement and justice personnel ranging from officers to prosecutors who depend on an archaic 40 plus year old information system to gather intelligence to include, but not limited to criminal background checks, produce crime reports, or assist with determining sentencing in court. The Clark County Information Technology Department is managing the project for the new system. The new system will remove the risk and deliver new functionalities to law enforcement and justice stakeholders in Nevada.

A comprehensive study was completed in July 2009 by an independent consultant on the legacy systems in Clark County, Nevada. The study produced a Needs Assessment, Business Case, Request for Proposal, and Strategic Plan documents. These documents substantiated the threat to law enforcement posed by the continued use the 40 plus year old Shared Computer Operations for Protection and Enforcement (SCOPE) system. The study also addressed the limitations of the 30 year old Wanted Vehicles System (WVS) as well. The study confirmed the need for a new system.

A new SCOPE II system is estimated at a cost of $13.3 million dollars. Because of continued economic duress for local governments, sufficient funding for the new system is not available. The project is divided into 4 phases that can be separately funded as monies become available. The project has raised $5,524,596 through agency contributions and grants to fund Phase 0 (Plan and Design), Phase 1 SCOPE II (core), and 2a&b (Wanted Vehicles). To fund Phase 2c “SCOPE II Enhancements” an estimated $840,900 is needed to deliver enhanced sealing and reporting capabilities. To fund Phase 3 “Interface and Customization” an estimated $893,900 is needed to deliver interfaces to the new SCOPE II system. Additionally, it is estimated that $575,031 is needed for equipment and an independent technology review. A total of $2,209,831 is needed to assist the completion of SCOPE II.

Implementing SCOPE II will improve information sharing in southern Nevada and increases opportunities for other partners across Nevada.
The SCOPE system was established in 1968 as Nevada’s first criminal history repository. This system can no longer meet the information sharing demands that are required of a pliable, efficient, and effective crime fighting tool. The SCOPE application was written in an archaic computer language (assembler) and inhibits justice information sharing because it cannot be modified to meet the needs of criminal justice personnel that use the system. Technology resources to support the SCOPE system are scarce. The primary support programmer for SCOPE retired in February 2008. Clark County technology staff is further limited in the level of expertise to support SCOPE. The SCOPE system supports nearly 90 Federal, State, Local and Tribal law enforcement and justice entities in sharing criminal and investigative information in combating crime. Due to the technological condition and limitations of SCOPE, its continued use places the criminal justice community at risk.

The legacy SCOPE criminal history system is not relevant or sustainable long term. The impact of application failure will harm the process of identifying, apprehending, detaining, prosecuting and incarcerating criminals. Examples of the affects are:

- **Patrol Officers** – Will not have in-the-field ready access to agency arrests and dispositions, juvenile warrants, agency notifications and physical identifying descriptors not available anywhere else.
- **Crime Analysts** – Will be unable to readily develop cross agency reports to accurately identify patterns of criminal activity.
- **Detectives** – Will be unable to easily locate and verify cross agency information, identify leads, and obtain physical identifiers. They will lose the only shared database within the State of Nevada that provides juvenile warrant information, stalking and harassment protection orders, convicted person registration, DNA collection, investigative interest notifications, traffic accidents and a complete compilation of all types of misdemeanor arrests with dispositions.
- **Dispatch** – Will not have ready access to agency arrests and dispositions, juvenile warrants, agency notifications and physical identifying descriptors so they can easily provide this information to officers and investigators in the field.
- **Sentencing and Evaluation Staff** – Will be unable to readily report cross agency information to judges during sentencing, difficulty setting bail amounts and determining eligibility for release own recognizance and probable cause reviews.
• **Prosecutors** – Will be unable to easily compile cross agency information for confirming defendant identification, reviewing prior convicted person and sex offender registrations, reviewing convictions that may affect charging options or penalty enhancements, assessing likelihood of failure to appears and review defendant history for alternative sentencing or diversion eligibility.

• **Jails** – Will be unable to readily find information for verification of a subject’s identity, immigration information, health historical information, classification for inmate housing and, prior to release, that there are no new misdemeanor wants on the subject.

• **Parole & Probation (P&P)** - Will be unable to easily determine sentence compliance of supervised offenders.

The WVS system is over 30 years old. It is the only system of its type in the State of Nevada. WVS contains information on stolen and recovered vehicles, felony vehicles, bait vehicles, police impounds, private tows and repossessions, seized vehicles, hit and run suspect vehicles, lost/stolen license plates, contact messages and missing adults and juveniles associated with vehicles. As an investigative tool, WVS provides vehicle information, current addresses, current phone numbers pointers indicating other agency contact, physical identifiers and various investigative reports. Las Vegas has the highest rate of auto theft per capita of any metropolitan area in the country, according to data from the National Insurance Crime Bureau (CNNMoney.com, 2007). The independent study concluded that WVS is not keeping pace with the needs of the officers who are focused on auto theft activities. WVS only matches 39% of the needs of law enforcement in regard to auto theft.

The cities in Clark County contain a large segment of the police force and support the largest population in Nevada but ironically are limited to some of the oldest systems in Nevada to protect public safety. Las Vegas was visited by over 37 million visitors in 2008 per the Las Vegas Visitors and Convention Authority. Clark County represents approximately 70% of Nevada’s population per the U.S. Census. These factors contribute to the high-level of criminal activity in southern Nevada. The SCOPE system processes over 11 million requests for information for 80+ agencies a year. The “Crime and Justice in Nevada” report for 2007 correlates and substantiates that Clark County has the highest total index crime rate of 79% (92,522 Clark County crime / 116,814 total reported crime) in Nevada with the lowest clearance rate of 17% (15,492 clearance / 92,522 Clark County crime) (pg 23). The reliance on the oldest criminal history repository in Nevada inhibits the effectiveness of law enforcement and justice agencies.

The aim is to implement a new SCOPE II system that takes advantage of 21st century technologies, and integrate 385 requirements specified by police, court, and detention personnel to improve the effectiveness of criminal justice agencies.

---

3 [http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/32/32003.html](http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/32/32003.html)

2011 JAG Program Application
This is a critical component of your application process. Read the Guidelines carefully before continuing with this section. Errors in this section can cause your entire application to be rejected. Use the statistics collected for the Problem Statement. Begin typing below this box. You may delete this box once you have added your narrative, if you wish.

Goal 1: To improve criminal and non-criminal information sharing functionality and capability for the law enforcement and justice communities in Nevada through technology.

Objective 1: In Phase 2, the SCOPE II system will be further built out to establish new Wanted Vehicle functionality and enhancements. For example, the new system must accept requests for record information directly from justice partners as well as through the state message switch named JusticeLink\(^5\). Through the JusticeLink interface, more wanted vehicle capabilities will be available for all users. In addition medium priority criminal history requirements will be integrated into the new system. For example, integrating images with criminal history data will be available to law enforcement for identification purposes is a medium level priority requirement (IT-24\(^6\)).

Objective 2: Implement Phase 3 of SCOPE II. Phase 3 “Interface and Customization”, delivers the capability law enforcement systems to communicate with SCOPE II. System-to-system communication will reduce the manual entry or loss of time that occurs between agencies. The new system will be compliant with the National Information Exchange Model (NIEM). NIEM is supported by the Bureau of Justice Assistance and U.S. Department of Homeland Security\(^7\).

---

5 Clark County Nevada, Information Technology Justice and Public Safety Community of Interest SCOPE and WVS Replacement (SWR) Project, Request for Proposal, RFP 601529-09, March 31, 2008 (Requirement IT-1)
6 Clark County Nevada, Information Technology Justice and Public Safety Community of Interest SCOPE and WVS Replacement (SWR) Project, Request for Proposal, RFP 601529-09, March 31, 2008 (Requirement IT-24)
7 http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/BJA/topics/technology.html
How will you plan to achieve your goals and objectives? Be specific. Begin typing below this box. You may delete this box once you have added your narrative, if you wish.

Professional services have been contracted to assist in the delivery of SCOPE II for all phases. Unfunded Phase 2C and 3 are the focus of this grant request. The following table depicts the major activities for Phase 2C & 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCOPE II Project</th>
<th>Estimated Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase 0 - Plan and Design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1 - Initial SCOPE II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2 - WVS &amp; Enhancement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2A - Wanted Vehicle Plan and Design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2B - SCOPE II Wanted Vehicles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2C - SCOPE II Enhancements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancements Test and Training Updates</td>
<td>4/2/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancements Release #1 (Pre-Production)</td>
<td>5/25/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancements Release #2 (Production)</td>
<td>7/27/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2 Support</td>
<td>10/6/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 3 - Interface &amp; Customization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface and Customization Test and Training Update</td>
<td>10/3/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Source Integration #3</td>
<td>11/14/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Source Integration #4</td>
<td>12/31/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Source Integration #5</td>
<td>2/15/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Source Integration #6</td>
<td>3/6/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Source Integration #7</td>
<td>3/13/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Source Integration #8</td>
<td>4/9/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Source Integration #9</td>
<td>4/16/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Source Integration #10</td>
<td>4/19/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3 Support</td>
<td>10/6/14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Phase 2C delivers enhanced SCOPE II functionality, like record sealing, reporting services and JusticeLink communication for Wanted Vehicles based on designs developed in Phase 0 and 2A. Once delivered, law enforcement subject matter experts test the new SCOPE II enhancements and verify that there is increased information sharing.
- Phase 3 delivers interfaces to SCOPE II and external systems that will improve the efficiency in information sharing between law enforcement agencies. The professional services contractor in partnership with Clark County will analyze, design and build proposed interfaces to a law enforcement Record Management System (RMS), the Clark County Integrated Justice Information System (CCUJS), a Court Management System (CMS), a Jail Management System (JMS), a Document Management system, the LVMPD Information Sharing and Analysis Tools (ISAT) system, the Carrying Concealed Weapons (PO/CCW) system, and a Child Support system to extend information provided through SCOPE II.
Inclusive in Phase 2C and 3 will be the procurement of the necessary equipment to support the continued development of SCOPE II.

JAG Performance Measures that will be tracked and reported will be the number of units that report improved efficiency (48) and the number of units that report increase in program quality (49).
You may consider either hiring an outside evaluator or having someone who is not affiliated with your program do an evaluation for you. The final evaluation of your program must be submitted within 45 days of the grant closing. The State Analysis Center may be able to assist you. See contact info – click here. Begin typing below this box. You may delete this box once you have

A post evaluation process will be performed by the Clark County Information Technology Department (CCIT) to assess that the project met the goals and objectives of the project covered by this grant. CCIT uses standard practices for managing information technology projects to improve success. Post evaluation of projects is one of the standard practices.

Post survey with partner agencies will be performed to validate that the business processes have improved as a result of the implementation of the new justice information sharing system. Referencing the Bureau of Justice Assistance performance measures for Information Systems for Criminal Justice Systems, the new justice information sharing system will be measured in the categories of: Number of units that report improved efficiency (48), and the Number of units that report increase in program quality (49).
A chargeback system will be implemented to sustain the new SCOPE II. A cost model will be developed for participating law enforcement and justice agencies for continued maintenance and updates.

A working group comprised of representatives from the SCOPE user community will be convened to establish a system for allocating support/sustainment and system replacement costs. Prior to June 30, 2011, this group will establish a cost sharing model with the following hallmarks:

1. A requirement for segregation of allocable expenses and revenues associated with the SCOPE system.

2. Establish a basis for cost allocation that will provide for a mutually agreeable allocation method.

3. Development of an allocation model that establishes a basis for entry of additional users and a reallocation of costs for current users based on that entry.

4. Provides for an estimation of annual costs.

At the culmination of the group’s work, a user agreement will be established that memorializes usage terms and costs sharing arrangements with the requirement that participating entities sign the agreement prior to gaining system access.
Describe what other agencies/departments/resources will be involved in your program and how they will assist in your efforts to achieve your goals. Begin typing below this box. You may delete this box once you have added your narrative, if you wish.

A governance structure has been established from the leadership of the major law enforcement and justice agencies to control the implementation of a new justice information sharing system. Members of the project executive steering committee are:

- Clark County, Assistant County Manager, Jeff Wells
- Clark County District Attorney, Deputy District Attorney, Eric Jorgensen
- Clark County Information Technology, Chief Information Officer, Laura Fucci
- City of Henderson, Deputy Chief of Police, Ron Averett
- City of Las Vegas, Deputy City Manager, Orlando Sanchez
- City of North Las Vegas, Assistant Director, Alfonso Noyola
- Department of Public Safety, Chief IT Manager, Catherine Krause
- Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Division Director, Cinda Loucks

Functional and technical subject matter expert have been committed to project from partner agencies. Examples of key cross agency project resources associated to the development of the new SCOPE II System are:

**Kathy Comba – State of Nevada Department of Public Safety**
Kathy Comba is an applications manager for the State of Nevada Department of Public Safety Records and Technology Division. She has had more than 20 years experience in all levels of project development including project management, design, analysis, testing, training, and programming for multiple criminal justice systems.

**Lynne Cavalieri and Patty Peters – Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD)**
Mrs. Cavalieri has 27 years of experience in Corrections and Police Records. She is a Records Manager and the Terminal Agency Coordinator. She is the administrator of SCOPE (criminal history system) and Wanted Vehicle Systems. She is the liaison for LVMPD regarding NCIC and Nevada Criminal Justice Information System audits. Lynne is responsible for the Criminal History Section which is comprised of Certification, SCOPE, Records Quality Control and Validation.

Mrs. Peters has been with law enforcement for 17 years and a Certification Specialist since 1996. She has been involved with the creation, training and implementation of agency specific training documentation as it relates to local, state and federal system mandates. She has also assisted with the implementation of state files that benefit all aspects of the Criminal Justice Community.

**Hillary Velado – Henderson Police Department**
Mrs. Velado has approximately 10 years of experience working in Police Records. She has been the Terminal Agency Coordinator (TAC) for the Henderson PD since November 2007. The TAC acts as the liaison between the State and their respective law enforcement agency. The TAC is contacted by
the State to disseminate and train their respective departments on systems policy, changes, technical updates, certification, etc.

Kowan Connolly – North Las Vegas Police Department
Kowan Connolly is the Terminal Agency Coordinator for the North Las Vegas Police Department. She has more than 13 years experience working in Police Records as well as the Terminal Agency Coordinator in the System Support Division. The TAC performs specialized duties to verify accuracy of City data entry into computerized federal and state criminal history records and maintains the integrity of the database. Mrs. Connolly is a liaison and active NCIC/NCJIS Board member.
# BUDGET SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Request $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Personnel Costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Consultants/Contract Services</td>
<td>$1,834,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Travel/Training Costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Supplies/Operating Costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Equipment</td>
<td>$475,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Confidential Funds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Federal Funds Requested</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,309,831</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match – (not required)*</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total of Project</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,309,831</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Although match is not required, you can show what will be contributed toward your program. This will not influence whether or not you receive a grant award.*

[Return to Application Guidelines]
**PERSONNEL COSTS:** Detail all salaries and wages required for program activities to be paid for by this request for funding. **Maximum OT is 32 hours/month/employee.**

### SALARIES AND WAGES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position Title</th>
<th>Annual Salary or $/hour</th>
<th>% of time working on grant</th>
<th># of OT hours</th>
<th>Is position a New Hire Y/N</th>
<th>Total FEDERAL $ Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Total Personnel**

$  

**PAYROLL TAXES AND FRINGE BENEFITS:** (Rate x Amount = Request) Fringe benefits should be based on actual known costs or an established formula. Fringe benefits are only for the percentage of time devoted to the project. Complete subcategory total. Detail all payroll taxes and fringe benefits on the appropriate lines.

### FRINGE BENEFITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$ Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FICA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WORKERS' COMP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEMP. INS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP INS (Health, Life, Disability, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER (specify)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Total Fringe Benefits**

$  

**TOTAL PERSONNEL**

$  

PERSONNEL – Begin typing justification here:
**CONTRACTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Hourly Fee</th>
<th># of Hours</th>
<th>Sole Source Contract?</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2C</td>
<td>Fixed contract</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$840,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 3</td>
<td>Fixed contract</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>893,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Technology Review</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-Total Contracts: $1,834,800

**CONSULTANT EXPENSES**: Must follow federal/state GSA travel policy and per diem rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Travel</th>
<th>Per Diem</th>
<th>Airfare</th>
<th>Ground Transportation</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-Total Expenses: $ 

TOTAL CONSULTANT: $1,834,800

- CONSULTANT – Through a competitive process, the project has selected Analyst International Corporation. Project costs were negotiated for all phases of the SCOPE II project.
**TRAVEL COSTS:** The location and purpose of travel should be provided. Per diem (meals & lodging) and mileage are included in travel. Per mile cost and per diem rates should not exceed the current state rates. Current state rates are: mileage $.51/mile, per diem is set at the federal GSA rates. Go to [http://www.gsa.gov](http://www.gsa.gov) for current rates in each city/county. Registration fees/conference/training costs should not be listed here. They belong under "Training." Show the basis of computation (i.e., cost per night for lodging x number of nights = total lodging expense).

### In-State Travel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position Title</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Per Diem</th>
<th>Est. Airfare</th>
<th>Mileage Allowance (150 mi x .51 = $ 76.50)</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Total**

**In-State Travel** $  

Back to directions ▼

**IN-STATE TRAVEL –**
### Out-of-State Travel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position Title</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Per Diem</th>
<th>Est. Airfare</th>
<th>Mileage Allowance 150 mi x .51 = $76.50</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Total In-State Travel** $ $

Back to directions

OUT-OF-STATE TRAVEL JUSTIFICATION:
### Training Costs

Registration fees/conference/training costs should be included in this section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Title</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th># of Attendees</th>
<th>Titles of positions (officer, admin)</th>
<th>Reg Fees</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-Total Training

$  

**TOTAL TRAVE & TRAINING**

$  

No more than three (3) people will be approved to attend the same training. Add or delete rows as necessary.

[Back to directions](#)  

**TRAINING JUSTIFICATION:**
SUPPLIES / OPERATING COSTS: Include in this section requests to support all of the following: telephone, postage, printing and copying, publication, desktop and consumable office supplies, drug testing supplies, and other. For cell phone, include the cost of monthly service and charges by minutes/plan. For printing and copying, include the cost per page and number of pages per month. For desktop and consumable supplies, include the cost per person per month. For drug testing supplies use the average cost per month.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity (per person per month)</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total for Year</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examples: batteries, pens, paper, drug testing supplies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Total Supplies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OPERATING:
Cell phones, copy rental

Sub-Total Operating $ |
TOTAL SUPPLIES & OPERATING $ |

Back to directions
SUPPLIES AND OPERATING JUSTIFICATION:
**EQUIPMENT**: Non-consumable items with a life of one year or more and an acquisition cost of $1,000 or more per item (excluding printers) should be listed in this category. Like items or related components must be considered as a group and may not be separated to avoid complying with these standards. Provide a list of all items including number of items, manufacturer, location of item and price per item. Law Enforcement agencies must go through the 1033/1122 Program for equipment purchases but need not receive an estimate for purposes of this application.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total for Year</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCOPE II Public DMZ Environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$197,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOPE II Reporting Services Environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$277,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL EQUIPMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$475,031</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EQUIPMENT JUSTIFICATION**: To provide capability beyond the core equipment funded in Phase I, additional equipment is needed to expand SCOPE II to support public access and increase reporting performance for the law enforcement and justice community. The source of the equipment estimates are from a March 18, 2011 file name “SCOPE II Environment.xlsx” produced by Clark County Information Technology.
CONFIDENTIAL FUNDS: Funds will be considered for State law enforcement agencies and law enforcement agencies serving units of local government. For continuation grants, balance expended during previous grant years will be considered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Rate per Month</th>
<th>Total for Year</th>
<th>Estimate Portion to be used from forfeiture funds</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CI FUNDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Back to directions b

CONFIDENTIAL FUNDS JUSTIFICATION:

2011 JAG Program Application
CHECKLIST

The following items must be returned as part of the Grant Application.

☐ Title Page - (Please sign in blue ink)

☐ General Overview

☐ Problem Statement

☐ Goals and Objectives (Must be measurable)

☐ Methods of Accomplishment

☐ Evaluation/Internal Assessment

☐ Sustainment – including time line

☐ Statement of Coordination

☐ Budget Form with itemization and narratives

☐ Inter-Agency Agreement or Memorandum of Understanding for current year, if applicable

The following assurances must be signed by the authorized official/s and submitted with this application. Please use blue ink for signatures. Assurances forms are found separately from this application our the www.ocj.nv.gov website – Applications – Assurances Forms.

☐ Certified Standard Assurances

☐ Certification regarding Lobbying and Debarment and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements

☐ Immigration and Naturalization Service Form - INS

☐ Equal Employment Opportunity Plan Form - EEOP

☐ Return Original and Four copies stapled in upper left corner to OCJA by the deadline. - April 8, 2011, 5:00 p.m.

THIS FORM IS FOR CONVENIENCE ONLY
AND MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETURNED WITH APPLICATION
BE SURE TO MEET THE APPLICATION DUE DATE OF APRIL 8, 2011

Mail to or Hand-deliver by 5:00 p.m. Friday – April 8, 2011 to:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE
1535 OLD HOT SPRINGS ROAD #10
CARSON CITY, NV 89706

to: Map to OCJA - 1535 Hot Springs Road

www.ocj.nv.gov - website address
(775) 687-3700 Main Telephone Number
(775) 687-4171 Fax Number

Be sure to download, complete and submit the ASSURANCE forms as well. You can find the assurance forms on the OCJA website at www.ocj.nv.gov - click on Applications and then on Assurance Forms.pdf file.

OR, if you are still on the web you may click on the following link.

ASSURANCES

GOOD LUCK

And please contact our office if you have any questions.
CERTIFICATIONS

GOVERNING LEGISLATION/POLICIES FOR THIS GRANT PROGRAM:
The United States Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice and the
Nevada State Department of Public Safety (DPS), Office of Criminal Justice Assistance (OCJA)

The applicant certifies by signing the OCJA grant application title page, that the project described in this
application meets all the requirements of the applicable governing legislation as indicated below; that all
information contained in the application is correct; that there has been appropriate coordination with
affected agencies; and, that the applicant will read, understand and comply with all provisions of the
governing legislation and all other applicable federal and state laws, rules and regulations that have been or
may hereafter be established. The applicant further understands and agrees that any subgrant award
received as a result of this application shall be subject additionally to the grant conditions set forth in the
Statement of Grant Award, and in the current applicable OCJA Project Director’s Manual.

STANDARD PROVISIONS

1. CHIEF’S APPROVAL
   This subgrant award shall not be valid until it has been approved by the Chief of the Office of Criminal
   Justice Assistance or designee.

2. FUND AVAILABILITY.
   Financial obligations of the State are contingent upon funds for that purpose being appropriated, budgeted,
   and otherwise made available.

3. FEDERAL FUNDING.
   This subgrant is subject to and contingent upon the continuing availability of federal funds for the purposes
   hereof.

GRANT REQUIREMENTS

1. FINANCIAL & ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT
   a. The Subgrantee assures that fund accounting, auditing, monitoring, evaluation procedures and such
      records as necessary will be maintained to assure adequate internal fiscal controls, proper financial
      management, efficient disbursement of funds received, and maintenance of required source documentation
      for all costs incurred. These principles must be applied for all costs incurred whether charged on a direct or
      indirect basis.
   b. All expenditures must be supported by appropriate source documentation. Only actual, approved,
      allowable expenditures will be permitted.
   c. The Subgrantee assures that it will comply with the provisions of the current applicable OCJA Project
      Director’s Manual. However, such a guide cannot cover every foreseeable contingency, and the Subgrantee
      is ultimately responsible for compliance with applicable state and federal laws, rules and regulations.
2. PAYMENT & REPORTING
a. OCJA will reimburse the Subgrantee the reasonable and allowable costs of performance, in accordance with current OCJA Office Policies and Nevada State Fiscal Rules, not to exceed the amount specified herein as the Total Award Amount.
b. The Subgrantee assures that it shall maintain data and information to provide accurate quarterly program and monthly financial reports to OCJA. Said reports shall be provided in such form, at such times, and containing such data and information as OCJA reasonably requires to administer the program.
c. The Subgrantee assures that quarterly financial reports, narrative reports and quarterly performance measure reports shall be submitted within 20 calendar days of the end of each calendar quarter and shall be current and actual. If 1512 ARRA reports are due they will be completed by the assigned due date (usually the 5th calendar day after the end of each quarter.)
d. The Subgrantee further assures that final financial and narrative reports shall be submitted on the forms provided by OCJA within 45 days of the end date of the subgrant.
e. OCJA reserves the right to make and authorize modifications, adjustments, and/or revisions to the Grant Award for the purpose of making changes in budget categories, extensions of grant award dates, changes in goals and objectives.
f. OCJA may withhold payment in the event the Subgrantee fails to comply with conditions and certifications contained in this grant award.

FEDERAL CERTIFIED ASSURANCES

1. FEDERAL PUBLIC POLICY ASSURANCES

a. The Subgrantee hereby agrees that it will comply, and all of its contractors will comply with the applicable provisions of:
   i. Title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended;
   ii. The Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act and/or the Victims of Crime Act, as appropriate;
   iii. All other applicable Federal laws, orders, circulars, regulations or guidelines.
b. The Subgrantee agency hereby agrees that it will comply, and all of its contractors will comply with the provisions of 28 CFR applicable to grants and cooperative agreements including:
   i. Part 18, Administrative Review Procedure;
   ii. Part 22, Confidentiality of Identifiable Research and Statistical Information;
   iii. Part 23, Criminal Intelligence Systems Operating Policies;
   iv. Part 30, Intergovernmental Review of Department of Justice Programs and Activities;
   v. Part 35, Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability in State and Local Government Services;
   vi. Part, 38, Equal Treatment for Faith Based Organizations;
   viii. Part 61 Procedures of Implementing the National Environmental Policy Act;
   ix. Part 63 Floodplain Management and Wetland Protection Procedures; and,
   x. Federal Laws or regulations applicable to Federal Assistance Programs.
c. Grantee agrees to comply with the requirements of 28 C. F. R. Part 46 and all Office of Justice Programs policies and procedures regarding the protection of human research subjects, including obtainment of Institutional Review Board approval, if appropriate, and subject informed consent.
d. Grant agrees to comply with all confidentiality requirements of 42 U. S. C. section 3789g and C. F. R. Part 22 that are applicable to collection, use, and revelation of data or information. Grantee further agrees, as a condition of grant approval, to submit a Privacy Certificate that is in accord with requirements of 28 C. F. R. Part 22 and, in particular, section 22.23.
2. FINANCIAL & ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT
   a. Subgrantee assures that it will comply with appropriate federal cost principles and administrative
      requirements applicable to grants as follows:
         i. For state, local or Indian tribal government entities;
            a) OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local & Indian Tribal Governments
            b) OMB Circular A-102, Common Rule-Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and
               Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments
         c) 28 CFR 66, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State
            and Local Governments
         ii. For non-profit organizations;
             a) OMB Circular A-122, Cost Principles for Non-Profit Organizations
             b) OMB Circular A-110, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with
                Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals and Other Nonprofit Organizations
             c) 28 CFR 70, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements with
                Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and other Non-Profit Organizations
         iii. For colleges and universities;
             a) OMB Circular A-21, Cost Principles for Educational Institutions
             b) OMB Circular A-110, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with
                Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals and Other Nonprofit Organizations
             c) 28 CFR 70, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements with
                Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and other Non-Profit Organizations
         iv. For each agency spending more than $500,000 per year in federal funds from all sources;
             a) OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments and Nonprofit Organizations
                Special Provisions and Certified Assurances

3. NON-SUPPLANTING OF FUNDS
   a. The Subgrantee certifies that any required matching funds used to pay the non-federal portion of the cost
      of this subgrant are in addition to funds that would have otherwise been made available for the purposes of
      this project.
   b. The Subgrantee certifies that federal funds made available under this grant:
      i. Will not be used to supplant state or local funds;
      ii. Where there is a reduced or unchanged local investment, then the Subgrantee shall give a written
          explanation demonstrating that the Subgrantee's reduced or unchanged commitment would have been
          necessitated even if federal financial support under this federal grant program had not been made available.

4. ASSURANCES FORMS TO BE SIGNED AND SUBMITTED WITH APPLICATION:
   • STANDARD ASSURANCES – OMB –Must be signed by BOTH the Governmental
     Unit (i.e., Mayor, County Commissioner, City Supervisor etc.) AND the Applicant
     Agency (i.e., Police Chief, Sheriff, District Attorney, State Agency Director)
   • CERTIFICATIONS REGARDING LOBBYING; DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION AND
     OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS AND DRUG_FREE WORKPLACE
     REQUIREMENTS
   • IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE (INS) REPORTING
   • EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY PLAN (EEOP)

You may visit the Office of Justice Programs, Office for Civil Rights website at:
http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/about/offices/ocr.htm for more information.
STANDARD ASSURANCES

The Applicant hereby assures and certifies compliance with all applicable Federal statutes, regulations, policies, guidelines, and requirements, including OMB Circulars A-21, A-87, A-102, A-110, A-122, A-133; Ex. Order 12372 (intergovernmental review of federal programs); and 28 C.F.R. pts. 65 or 70 (administrative requirements for grants and cooperative agreements). The applicant also specifically assures and certifies that:

1. It has the legal authority to apply for federal assistance and the institutional, managerial, and financial capability (including funds sufficient to pay any required non-federal share of project cost) to ensure proper planning, management, and completion of the project described in this application.

2. It will establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using their positions for a purpose that constitutes or presents the appearance of personal or organizational conflict of interest, or personal gain.

3. It will give the awarding agency or the General Accounting Office, through any authorized representative, access to and the right to examine all paper or electronic records related to the financial assistance.

4. It will comply with all lawful requirements imposed by the awarding agency, specifically including any applicable regulations, such as 28 C.F.R. pts. 18, 22, 23, 30, 35, 38, 42, 61, and 63, and the award term in 2 C.F.R. § 175.15(b).


7. If a governmental entity--

   a) it will comply with the requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisitions Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.§ 4601 et seq.), which govern the treatment of persons displaced as a result of federal and federally-assisted programs; and

   b) it will comply with requirements of 5 U.S.C. §§ 1501-08 and §§7324-28, which limit certain political activities of State or local government employees whose principal employment is in connection with an activity financed in whole or in part by federal assistance.

Signature of Governmental Unit
(County Commissioner, City Supervisor, Mayor, etc.)

[Signature]

Date

4-6-11

Signature of Applicant Agency (Sheriff, Chief, DA)

[Signature]

Date

4-6-11
CERTIFICATIONS REGARDING LOBBYING; DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS AND DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE REQUIREMENTS

Applicants should refer to the regulations cited below to determine the certification to which they are required to attest. Applicants should also review the instructions for certification included in the regulations before completing this form. Signature of this form provides for compliance with certification requirements under 28 CFR Part 69, "New Restrictions on Lobbying" and 28 CFR Part 67, "Government-wide Debarment and Suspension (Non-procurement) and Government-wide Requirements for Drug-Free Workplace (Grants)." The certifications shall be treated as a material representation of fact upon which reliance will be placed when the Department of Justice determines to award the covered transaction, grant, or cooperative agreement.

1. LOBBYING

As required by Section 1352, Title 31 of the U.S. Code, and implemented at 28 CFR Part 69, for persons entering into a grant or cooperative agreement over $100,000, as defined at 28 CFR Part 69, the applicant certifies that:

(a) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the making of any Federal grant, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal grant or cooperative agreement;

(b) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal grant or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form - LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions;

(c) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subgrants, contracts under grants and cooperative agreements, and subcontracts) and that all sub-recipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.
2. DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS (DIRECT RECIPIENT)

As required by Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension, and implemented at 28 CFR Part 67, for prospective participants in primary covered transactions, as defined at 28 CFR Part 67, Section 67.510

A. The applicant certifies that it and its principals:

(a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, sentenced to a denial of Federal benefits by a State or Federal court, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency;

(b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State, or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;

(c) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State, or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification; and (d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application had one or more public transactions (Federal, State, or local) terminated for cause or default; and

B. Where the applicant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, he or she shall attach an explanation to this application.

3. DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE (GRANTEE OTHER THAN INDIVIDUALS)

As required by the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, and implemented at 28 CFR Part 67, Subpart F, for grantees, as defined at 28 CFR Part 67 Sections 67.615 and 67.620

A. The applicant certifies that it will or will continue to provide a drug-free workplace by:

(a) Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee’s workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;

(b) Establishing an on-going drug-free awareness program to inform employees about

(1) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;

(2) The grantee’s policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace;
(3) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs; and

(4) The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace;

(c) Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph (a);

(d) Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph (a) that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will

(1) Abide by the terms of the statement; and

(2) Notify the employer in writing of his or her conviction for a violation of a criminal drug statute occurring in the workplace no later than five calendar days after such conviction;

(e) Notifying the agency, in writing, within 10 calendar days after receiving notice under subparagraph (d)(2) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction. Employers of convicted employees must provide notice, including position title, to:

Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
ATTN: Control Desk
810 Seventh Street, N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20531

Notice shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant;

(f) Taking one of the following actions, within 30 calendar days of receiving notice under subparagraph (d)(2), with respect to any employee who is so convicted

(1) Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination, consistent with the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended; or

(2) Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency;

(g) Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f).
B. The grantee may insert in the space provided below the site(s) for the performance of work done in connection with the specific grant:

Place of Performance (Street address, city, county, state, zip code)
500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Las Vegas, NV 89155

Check □ if there are workplaces on file that are not identified here.

Section 67.630 of the regulations provides that a grantee that is a State may elect to make one certification in each Federal fiscal year. A copy of which should be included with each application for Department of Justice funding. States and State agencies may elect to use OJP Form 4061/7.

Check □ if the State has elected to complete OJP Form 4061/7.
DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE (GRANTEES WHO ARE INDIVIDUALS)

As required by the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, and implemented at 28 CFR Part 67, Subpart F, for grantees, as defined at 28 CFR Part 67; Sections 67.615 and 67.620

A. As a condition of the grant, I certify that I will not engage in the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance in conducting any activity with the grant; and

B. If convicted of a criminal drug offense resulting from a violation occurring during the conduct of any grant activity, I will report the conviction, in writing, within 10 calendar days of the conviction, to:

Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
ATTN: Control Desk
810 Seventh Street, N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20531

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant, I hereby certify that the applicant will comply with the above certifications.

1. Grantee Name and Address:

Clark County Information Technology
500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Las Vegas, NV 89155

2. Project Name: SCOPE II Enhancements

3. Type/Print Name and Title of Authorized Representative

Jeffrey M. Wells

Signature: Jeffrey M. Wells Date: 4-6-11

OJP FORM 4081/8 (3-91) REPLACES OJP FORMS 4081/2, 4081/3 AND 4081/4 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.
OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS BJA NIJ OJJDP BJS OVC
INS REQUIREMENT

Nevada's Revised Statute 176.156 paragraph 2 states:

*If the Immigration and Naturalization Service of the United States Department of Justice requests the disclosure of a report of a presentence investigation, the court shall disclose the factual content of the report to the Immigration and Naturalization Service for the limited purpose of performing its duties, including, but not limited to, conducting hearings that are public in nature for the deportation of aliens.*

The State of Nevada has established a plan under which the state provides, without fees to the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS), notice of conviction of aliens who have been convicted of violating the criminal laws of the state, within 30 days of the date of a request by the INS of such records.

Specifically the plan is implemented as follows:

The Division of Parole and Probation (P&P) will receive a request from the courts to write a "Presentence Investigation Report" (PSI). If the offender is determined NOT to be a citizen of the United States, the P&P officer will notify INS either by telephone or fax. U.S. Immigration will inform the P&P officer if the offender is or is not an alien. If the offender is an alien, the Immigration officer will advise the P&P officer if the offender is illegal, what type of visa the alien should have, the alien's registration number and the alien's true country of citizenship.

When the alien is given a sentencing date, by law, the P&P office will send the U.S. Immigration Office a copy of the completed PSI and advise them of the alien's sentencing date. If the U.S. Immigration office wishes to deport the alien, they will appear on the court sentencing date and take the alien into custody.

If an alien is admitted to the Nevada Department of Prisons, an INS officer will periodically conduct physical interviews with the alien offender. A hearing is scheduled to determine status and condition at the time of release.

The prison system has access from both the North and South facilities to the INS through computer terminals.
A Phase II Plan is in process:

Resulting from a baseline audit and needs assessment of Nevada's Criminal History Records Repository conducted by the Executive Consulting Group in 1993 through 1994, a comprehensive effort was made to redesign and revitalize the Nevada Criminal Justice Information System. As a result of the redesign and following development of the new system, data will be provided to USINS consistent with Nevada's approved plan by BJA.
REPORTING ALIEN CONVICTIONS

IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE (INS)

This is to certify that I have read, understand, and agree to abide by Nevada’s guidelines for reporting alien arrests/convictions to Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS). Specifically, I ensure that criminal justice agencies in the city/county will perform the following functions:

✓ notify INS at the time of booking when a suspected alien is arrested, and/or
✓ notify INS within 30 days of a felony or deportable misdemeanor conviction of an alien.

A noncompliance with the above mandate may result in the following:

✓ temporary withholding of cash payments to the project pending corrections or more severe enforcement action by the Office of Criminal Justice Assistance,
✓ disallowance of use of funds for all or part of the cost of the project,
✓ suspension or termination of the current award for the grantee’s program,
✓ withholding further awards or other legal remedies.

Project Director

Date 4/6/11
WHAT IS AN EEOP?

The purpose of an Equal Employment Opportunity Plan (EEOP) is to ensure full and equal participation of men and women regardless of race or national origin in the workforce of the recipient agency. A recipient agency is defined as any state or local unit of government or agency thereof, and any private entity, institution, or organization, to which Office of Justice Programs (OJP) financial assistance is extended directly or through such government or private entity. Recipient agencies that meet all of the following criteria are required to maintain an EEOP on file for review by OJP, if requested (see 28 CFR §42.301 et seq.):

i. Have 50 or more employees; and
ii. Received a total of $25,000 or more in grants or subgrants; and
iii. Have 3 percent or more minorities in service population (however, if less than 3 percent minorities in service population, an EEOP must still be prepared, but must focus on employment practices affecting women only).

Grantees that meet criteria (i) and (iii), and who receive over $500,000 (or $1 million during an 18-month period) are required to submit an EEOP with their Application to the Office for Civil Rights, Office of Justice Programs for review.

An EEOP is a comprehensive document that analyzes the agency’s workforce in comparison to its relevant labor market data and all agency employment practices to determine their impact on the basis of race, sex, or national origin. The EEOP includes a written analysis that:

• provides a statistical profile of the internal workforce by race, sex and national origin
• identifies problems in employment practices and procedures.
• specifies corrective action
• forms the basis of ongoing evaluation.

See chart on following pages, “Civil Rights Requirements of Recipients” for types of entities that are exempt from these requirements and for a description of factors affecting requirements to either maintain an EEOP on file or submit an EEOP to OCR.
CIVIL RIGHTS REQUIREMENTS OF RECIPIENTS

Important: All recipients, regardless of the type of entity or the amount awarded, are subject to the prohibitions against discrimination in any program or activity and may be required by OCR, through selected compliance reviews, to submit data to ensure their services are delivered in an equitable manner to all segments of the service population and their employment practices comply with equal employment opportunity requirements. 28 CFR 42.207 and 42.301 et seq.

Regulatory Definition: Recipient means any state or local unit of government or agency thereof, and any private entity, institution or organization to which federal financial assistance is extended directly or through such government or agency. 28 CFR 42.202(n).

RECIPIENT MUST SUBMIT TO OCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Entity</th>
<th>Assurances</th>
<th>Court Findings of Discrimination</th>
<th>EEOP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Educational/Medical Nonprofit Institutions/Indian Tribes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. All other recipients receiving more than $25,000</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. State and Local Gov't recipients receiving $500,000 or more*</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recipient Factors</th>
<th>EEOP Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 50 employees</td>
<td>Not required to maintain EEOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 3% minority population</td>
<td>Required to maintain EEOP as relates to women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receives less than $25,000</td>
<td>Not required to maintain EEOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receives more than $25,000 (excluding Type I entity)</td>
<td>Must maintain an EEOP on file for possible OCR audits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receives $500,000 or more* (only type III entity)</td>
<td>Must submit EEOP to OCR for approval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*or over $1 million in an 18-month period
CERTIFICATION
Grantee Name: Clark County Information Technology Project Title: SCOPE II Enhancements
Address: 500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Contact Person: Laura Fucci Phone #: 702-455-5853
Date and effective duration of EEOP: 7-1-2009 EEOP policy statement
12-1-2010 EEOP (EEOP short form)

CERTIFICATION (EEOP ON FILE)

Certification Statement:

I, Therese Scupi, [agency executive officer], certify that the Clark County - Political subdivisions [agency] has formulated an Equal Employment Opportunity Plan in accordance with 28 CFR 42.391 Im et seq. subpart E, that it has been signed into effect by the proper agency authority and disseminated to all employees, and that it is on file in the Office of

Name of Agency Clark County Nevada
Address: 500 S. Grand Central Parkway Las Vegas, NV 89155

for review or audit by officials of the cognizant State planning agency or the Office for Civil Rights, Office of Justice Programs as required by relevant laws and regulations.

Therese Scupi, Director Office of Diversity 7/6/11
Signature Title Date

CERTIFICATION (NO EEOP REQUIRED)

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FUNDED AGENCY HAS LESS THAN 50 EMPLOYEES AND, THEREFORE, IS NOT REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN AN EEOP, PURSUANT TO 28 CFR 42.301, ET SEQ.

Signature Title Date
MEMORANDUM

CLARK COUNTY CLERK

TO: LAURA FUCCI, CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
FROM: ROSANN JONES, DEPUTY CLERK, COMMISSION DIVISION
SUBJECT: INTERLOCAL CONTRACT WITH LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, CITY OF LAS VEGAS, CITY OF HENDERSON, AND CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS, REGARDING FUNDING REPLACEMENT OF SHARED COMPUTER OPERATIONS FOR PROTECTION & ENFORCEMENT AND WANTED VEHICLE SYSTEMS, PHASE 0 THROUGH 3-BCC 10/19/10, ITEM NO. 61
DATE: OCTOBER 20, 2010

PLEASE OBTAIN THE NECESSARY SIGNATURE(S) AND RETURN A FULLY EXECUTED DOCUMENT TO THIS OFFICE.

THANK YOU,

This Interlocal Agreement is hereby made and entered into on this 2nd day of November, 2010 by and among the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, a law enforcement agency serving the combined jurisdictional boundaries of the County of Clark and the City of Las Vegas, the cities of Las Vegas, Henderson, and North Las Vegas, and the County of Clark, each a political subdivision of the state of Nevada, hereinafter referred to individually as a “Party” or collectively as the “Parties.”

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS the Shared Computer Operations for Protection and Enforcement (SCOPE) system was established in 1968 as Nevada’s first criminal history repository of arrests, convictions, sex offender registry, probation and parole, warrants, incidents of missing persons, dead bodies, homicides, and citations; and evolved to house non-criminal information including work card, business licensing, carrying concealed weapon applications, protection orders, mental petition orders, and family court writs; and

WHEREAS the Wanted Vehicle System (WVS) was established in 1983 as Nevada’s only system to contain information on stolen and recovered vehicles, vehicles used in the commission of a felony, bait vehicles, police impounds, private tows and repossessions, seized vehicles, hit and run suspect vehicles, lost/stolen license plates, contact messages and missing adults and juveniles associated with vehicles; and

WHEREAS the SCOPE application was written in an archaic computer assembler language and WVS was written in a 50-year-old third generation programming language that is facing imminent retirement, these systems can no longer meet the information sharing demands that are required of a pliable, efficient, and effective crime fighting tool; and

WHEREAS SCOPE cannot be modified to meet the needs of the criminal justice personnel that use the system because the technology resources to support SCOPE are no longer available and where technology resources for WVS are difficult to find and institutions of higher education are no longer teaching those skills; and

WHEREAS over 80 Federal, State, Local and Tribal law enforcement and justice agencies heavily rely on SCOPE and WVS as an integral part of the process of identifying, apprehending, detaining, prosecuting and incarcerating criminals; and

WHEREAS the Clark County Information Technology (CCTI) department has provided maintenance support of the SCOPE and WVS systems and has led the initiative for their replacement; and
WHEREAS grant funds have been obtained to develop a justice information sharing system (SCOPE II) as a replacement for the SCOPE and WVS systems; and

WHEREAS the RFP evaluation and vendor selection was conducted under the guidance and support of the steering committee that consists of primary users of the current SCOPE and WVS systems from the parties as well as the Nevada Department of Public Safety; and

WHEREAS the SCOPE II system meets current business requirements in regards to a justice information sharing system and a vendor (Analyst International, Inc.) has been contracted to implement the SCOPE II system utilizing a custom solution that includes Microsoft technologies as well as various other known 3rd party technologies; and

WHEREAS the anticipated total of replacement, support, and maintenance costs for the SCOPE II system exceed the amount of available grant funds; and

WHEREAS the five (5) largest users of the SCOPE and WVS systems are the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Clark County, and the cities of Las Vegas, Henderson, and North Las Vegas; and

WHEREAS CCIT will provide technology services and staff to manage the SCOPE II project as well as the maintenance and operation of the SCOPE II system as defined in Exhibit D (Service Level Agreement Between CCIT & SCOPE II Project Agencies);

NOW THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the mutual covenants set forth herein, the parties do hereby agree as follows:

1. The services to be performed shall be specifically described herein, and this agreement incorporates the following attachments:

   - Exhibit A: FY11 Cost Allocations
   - Exhibit B-1: SCOPE Replacement & Maintenance FY11 Total Cost Summary
   - Exhibit B-2: SCOPE Replacement & Maintenance FY11 Total Cost By Agency
   - Exhibit B-3: “Big 5” FY11 Total Cost Allocations
   - Exhibit C: Byrne JAG Contributions
   - Exhibit D: Service Level Agreement (SLA)
   - Exhibit E: Exhibit E – SCOPE II Phases Details

2. Phase 0 of the SCOPE II project includes the project initiation, SCOPE system requirements definition, design releases, and a baseline implementation plan; and

3. Phase 1 of the SCOPE II project will include the test plan, training materials, SCOPE data migration, initial SCOPE II system pre-production releases, SCOPE II system data source integration, the initial SCOPE II system “go live”, and a year of Tier 2 vendor support; and
4. Phase 2 of the SCOPE II project will include the WVS replacement system requirements definition, design, implementation plan, test plan, training materials, WVS data migration, the SCOPE II system “go live”, SCOPE II system enhancements test plan and training materials, SCOPE II system enhancement releases, and a year of Tier 2 vendor support along with internal support transition to CCIT; and

5. Phase 3 of the SCOPE II project will include interfaces and customizations, test plan, training materials, data source integrations, and a year of Tier 2 vendor support along with internal support transition to CCIT; and

6. The Clark County IT and Finance departments are managing the replacement and associated finances of the SCOPE II system, respectfully; and

7. The Clark County Finance department will utilize specific SAP software project codes to isolate and identify all financial activity associated with the SCOPE II system; and

8. CCIT will provide technology services and staff to manage the SCOPE II project as well as the maintenance and operation of the SCOPE II system as defined in Exhibit D (Service Level Agreement Between CCIT & SCOPE II Project Agencies);

9. The core hardware and software associated with the SCOPE II project will be located within the Clark County IT Operations Center facility; and

10. Support and maintenance is planned to be both in-house (i.e. Clark County) and contracted (Analyst International, Inc. and other 3rd-party technology support vendors); and

11. Clark County will invoice the respective parties on a quarterly basis for all actual project expenditures that occur from July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011 under the cost sharing model as specified per agency in Exhibit A (Cost Allocations). The invoices will detail any amounts expended for the purpose of supporting the SCOPE II project, will be supported by proper evidence of the amounts paid, and will be due upon receipt.

12. The total amounts billed to each agency not to exceed the amount specified per agency in Exhibit A as determined by the estimated costs and cost sharing model detailed in Exhibits B-1 through B-3 (attached) unless the additional costs have been expressly approved in writing by all of the parties.

13. Should any additional agencies be added to this interlocal prior to its expiration, Exhibit A (Cost Allocations) will be amended to reallocate costs proportionately based on the methodology currently employed under Exhibit B-3 (“Big 5” FY11 Total Cost Allocations).

14. It is recognized that recommended allocations from the Byrne Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) were established as part of the local solicitation that included Clark County, the City of Las Vegas, the City of Henderson, and the City of North Las Vegas. Due to the SCOPE II project’s consideration as a project of regional importance, those eligible agencies voluntarily waived their recommended allocations in order to partially fund the overall cost of the SCOPE II
project. As such, their recommended allocations will be, as part of this Agreement and as
memorialized in the approved Byrne JAG interlocal, recognized as a contribution toward the
costs of the project. Exhibit "C" defines the portions of the Byrne JAG allocations attributed to
each respective agency in funding the SCOPE II project.

15. All non-grant supported expenditures incurred and revenues received from the
parties will be accounted for by Clark County with a project code (via the assignment of an SAP
internal order number) unique to the SCOPE II project.

16. This agreement will commence upon execution by the parties and continue until
all payments due hereunder have been received and the County is fully reimbursed in accordance
with this Agreement.

17. On or before December 31, 2010, the SCOPE II Steering Committee will evaluate
the status of the project and the estimated costs to complete Phase 0 and 1 of the project, as well
as whether to proceed with Phase 2 or 3 of the project in FY12.

18. This agreement will either be extended and amended to include projected FY12
costs or replaced with an Agreement to share anticipated costs among all entities utilizing the
SCOPE II system. All participating agencies will review the agreement on April 1st of each year
to begin discussions regarding the extension or amendment of the agreement effective the
following July 1st.

19. Billing for usage of the current SCOPE system will continue per current
agreements until which time a party no longer utilizes that system.

20. Any notice or other communication required under this Agreement (hereinafter
referred to as "Notices") shall be in writing and either personally delivered or delivered by
certified mail, return receipt requested, and deposited in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid. The
Notices shall be deemed received upon actual receipt. The Notices shall be directed to the
parties at their respective addresses shown below:

To Las Vegas Metropolitan
Police Department: Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept.
400 Stewart Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attn: Director, Office of
Finance/Budget Section

To Clark County: Clark County
PO Box 551212
500 S. Grand Central Pkwy.
Las Vegas, NV 89155-1111
Attn: County Manager's Office
To City of Las Vegas

City of Las Vegas
400 Stewart Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attn: Orlando Sanchez, Deputy City Manager

To City of Henderson

City of Henderson City Hall
240 Water Street
P.O. Box 95050
MSC 211
Henderson, NV 89009-5050

To City of North Las Vegas

City of North Las Vegas
13012200 Civic Center Drive Lake Mead Boulevard
North Las Vegas, NV 89030
Attn: Asst. Director Police Services

Any party may change its address by giving notice in writing to the others so that, thereafter, notices, demands and other correspondence shall be addressed and transmitted to the new address. Notices given in the manner described above shall be deemed delivered on the day of personal delivery or the date delivery of mail is first attempted.

21. No party shall assign this Agreement without first obtaining the prior written consent of the others.

22. This Agreement may not be amended or modified without consent by the governing body of each party except by a written instrument executed by the parties hereto.

23. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior understandings or agreements between the parties.

24. This Agreement and each and every related document are to be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of Nevada.

25. Jurisdiction for judicial review under this Agreement shall rest exclusively with the Eighth Judicial District Court, County of Clark, State of Nevada.

26. Each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and court costs in connection with any legal proceeding hereunder.
27. If any term, covenant, condition or provision of this Agreement, or the application thereof to any person or circumstance, shall to any extent be held by a court of competent jurisdiction, or rendered by the adoption of a statute, invalid, void or unenforceable, the remainder of the terms, covenants, conditions or provisions of this Agreement, or the application thereof to any person or circumstance, shall remain in full force and effect and shall in no way be affected, impaired or invalidated thereby.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed by their duly authorized representatives the day and year first written above.
PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this 19th day of October, 2010.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

By
RORY REID, CHAIR

ATTEST:

DIANA ALBA, COUNTY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM ONLY:
DAVID ROGER
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

By: STEVEN SWEIKERT, Deputy District Attorney
PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this 5 day of October, 2010.

CITY OF HENDERSON

By: [Signature]
ANDY A. HAFEN, MAYOR

By: [Signature]
JUTTA G. CHAMBERS, CHIEF OF POLICE

ATTEST:

By: [Signature]
Sabrina Mercadante, CMC
City Clerk

COUNCIL ACTION
OCT - 5 2010

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: [Signature]
ELIZABETH M. QUILLIN, CITY ATTORNEY
PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this 20TH day of OCTOBER, 2010.

CITY OF LAS VEGAS

By: ____________________________
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, MAYOR

ATTEST:

By: ____________________________
BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC, CITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: ____________________________
JAMES B. LEWIS, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

10/20/2010 City Council Item 10
Interlocal Agreement No. 110974-PH
PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this ___ day of November, 2010.

CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS

By: Shari L. Buck
SHARI L. BUCK, MAYOR

ATTEST:

By: Karen L. Storms
KAREN L. STORMS, CMC, CITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: Nicholas G. Vaskov
NICHOLAS G. VASKOV, CITY ATTORNEY

PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this 25th day of October, 2010.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT

By: [Signature]
DOUGLAS GILLESPIE, SHERIFF

ATTEST:

By: [Signature]
ANNAMARIE ROBINSON, LVMPD FISCAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: [Signature]
MARY-ANNE MILLER, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY