Sunrise Manor Town Advisory Board

November 1, 2018

MINUTES

Board Members: Danielle Walliser – Chair – PRESENT
Max Carter – Vice Chair – PRESENT
Earl Barbeau – PRESENT
Paul Thomas – PRESENT
Planning- Jilly Roland
Secretary: Jill Leiva 702 334-6892 jilnikov@hotmail.com
County Liaison: Tamara Williams

I. Call to Order, Pledge of Allegiance, Roll Call, County Staff Introductions

The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m.

II. Public Comment
None

III. Approval of October 11, 2018 Minutes

Moved by: Mr. Barbeau
Action: Approved with a correction
Vote: 4-0/Unanimous

IV. Approval of Agenda for October 11, 2018

Moved by: Mr. Thomas
Action: Approved with Item #1 Held
Vote: 4-0/Unanimous

V. Informational Items

Ms. Williams announced that applications are being accepted for Sunrise Manor Town Advisory Board members, On November 20th Project Homeless Connect is being held at The Champion Center 9am-4pm and the Water Resource Center is giving free tours and having fun activities on November 3rd from 8am-3pm.

RECEIVED

NOV 16 2018
COUNTY CLERK
VI. Planning & Zoning

11/06/18 PC

1. **WS-18-0749-MOJAVE 15, LLC:**
   WAIVERS OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS for the following: 1) reduced side setback; 2) alternative landscaping; and 3) bicycle parking.
   DESIGN REVIEW for a proposed office/warehouse facility on 2.4 acres in an M-D (Designed Manufacturing) Zone in the MUD-3 Overlay District. Generally located on the west side of Palm Street, 630 feet south of Olive Street within Sunrise Manor. CG/pb/ml (For possible action) 11/06/18 PC
   Moved by: Ms. Walliser
   Action: Hold
   Vote: 4-0/Unanimous

11/20/18 PC

2. **ET-18-400216 (UC-0217-16)–TURNER, JAMES K.:**
   USE PERMITS SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME for the following: 1) increase the area of an existing accessory building; 2) allow existing accessory buildings not architecturally compatible with the principal building; and 3) waive applicable design standards per Table 30.56.
   WAIVERS OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS for the following: 1) reduced side setback for accessory structures; and 2) reduced separation between structures in conjunction with an existing single family residence on 0.7 acres in an R-E (Rural Estates Residential) Zone. Generally located on the east side of Castleberry Lane, 525 feet south of Meikle Lane within Sunrise Manor. CG/sd/ml (For possible action) 11/20/18 PC
   Moved by: Mr. Carter
   Action: Hold
   Vote: 4-0/Unanimous

3. **ET-18-400218 (UC-0458-16)–HARSH INVESTMENT PPTYS, LLC:**
   USE PERMIT FIRST EXTENSION OF TIME for a distribution center in an M-2 zone.
   WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS to allow an attached sidewalk along an arterial street.
   USE PERMIT EXTENSION OF TIME for a proposed office/warehouse and distribution building on 9.4 acres in an M-2 (Industrial) (AE-70) Zone. Generally located on the west side of Hollywood Boulevard, 330 feet north of Tropical Parkway within Sunrise Manor. MK/sd/ml (For possible action) 11/20/18 PC
   Moved by: Mr. Carter
   Action: Approved per staff recommendations
   Vote: 4-0/Unanimous

4. **WS-18-0758-HAREL, TAL:**
   WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS for the public water service requirement in conjunction with a minor subdivision map on 0.16 acres in an R-2 (Medium Density Residential) Zone. Generally located on the east side of Palm Street, 275 feet north of Olive Street within Sunrise Manor. CG/dg/ml (For possible action) 11/20/18 PC
   Moved by: Mr. Thomas
   Action: Approved per staff recommendations
   Vote: 3-1

5. **WS-18-0767-CRADDOCK, ROBERT & LOUISE, ET AL:**
   WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS for increased height of a proposed accessory structure (storage building) in conjunction with an existing residence on 0.3 acres in R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone. Generally located on the northeast corner of Linn Lane and Jackie Drive within Sunrise Manor. CG/mk/ml (For possible action) 11/20/18 PC
   Moved by: Mr. Carter
   Action: Approved per staff recommendations
   Vote: 4-0/Unanimous
6. **WC-18-400223 (NZC-0171-08) EDBON, LLC & HANSON D A D TRUST:**
   **WAIVERS OF CONDITIONS** for the following: 1) a maximum of 96 units; and 2) turn the building on the northwest corner of the site so it is oriented north/south instead of east/west in conjunction with a proposed multiple family residential development on 3.7 acres in an R-4 (Multiple Family Residential - High Density) Zone. Generally located on the northwest corner of Owens Avenue and Walnut Road within Sunrise Manor. LW/mk/ml (For possible action) 11/20/18 BCC
   Moved by: Ms. Walliser
   Action: Approved per staff recommendations
   Vote: 4-0/Unanimous

7. **WS-18-0792-EDBON, LLC & HANSON D A D TRUST:**
   **WAIVERS OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS** for the following:  1) reduce landscaping area; and 2) reduce the height/setback ratio requirement adjacent to a single family residential development.
   **DESIGN REVIEWS** for the following: 1) multiple family residential development; and 2) alternative parking lot landscaping on 3.7 acres in an R-4 (Multiple Family Residential - High Density) Zone. Generally located on the northwest corner of Owens Avenue and Walnut Road within Sunrise Manor. LW/mk/ml (For possible action) 11/20/18 BCC
   Moved by: Mr. Carter
   Action: Approved per staff recommendations
   Vote: 4-0/Unanimous

8. **ZC-18-0751-AVILA, JOSE M.:**
   **ZONE CHANGE** to reclassify 1.8 acres from R-E (Rural Estates Residential) Zone to M-D (Designed Manufacturing) Zone.
   **DESIGN REVIEW** for a proposed office/warehouse development in the MUD-2 Overlay District. Generally located on the southeast corner of Glen Avenue and west side of U.S Highway 95 within Sunrise Manor (description on file). CG/mk/ml (For possible action) 11/20/18 BCC
   Moved by: Mr. Thomas
   Action: Approved per staff recommendations
   Vote: 4-0/Unanimous

VII. General Business:
   None at this time

VIII. Public Comment:
   See Attached- Walter Scip

IX. Next Meeting Date
   The next regular meeting will be November 15, 2018

X. Adjournment
   The meeting was adjourned at 7:29 p.m.
INADEQUACY OF CLARK COUNTY’S GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT

The 62 page Clark County Election Department’s 2018 “Official Sample Ballot” seemed all inclusive and very detailed when I first perused it.

After the cover, the first two pages were the sample ballot itself. There were 12 pages detailing early voting locations (including the unnumbered removable multi-page county map of those locations). The remaining 48 pages pertained to ballot questions.

Then, I read through each of the six questions and the applicable paragraphs. I was appalled! Sixty two pages and voters were not presented with the actual wording of the constitutional changes approved by the 2017 legislature?

So, I printed out the actual “Full Text” of each of the six pending measures. I wanted to read the wording that, if approved, would change Nevada’s 1864 constitution.

Comparing the wording of the actual constitutional changes with the overly synoptic “condensation” of the questions on the sample ballot was my next challenge.

One would think that the work products of those elected would be of interest and thus presented to the electorate? Unknown authors wrote the “CONDENSATION” paragraph seemingly presenting the ballot question. It, unlike others, achieves brevity, which may have been the intent. In addition to being unnecessarily short, when compared with the “full text” versions, there are occurrences of probable misleading verbiage and even missing main precepts.

Adding perhaps just two more pages to display the full text of each measure would have assisted voters “discover” the actual wording of the measures they are voting for or against?

And, while buried in the “Explanation” paragraph in the brochure, many voters are still unaware that a change to the constitution must be approved by two consecutive general elections before taking effect. So, one can vote for a measure and two years later vote against it.

Given my charge of sample ballot inadequacy, I do applaud the Clark County Election Department for implementing several substantive changes which have enhanced voting in the County. Expanded early voting and county wide “anywhere” voting are two.

Finally, Nevada’s Legislative Counsel Bureau was established in 1945 and expanded in 1963 to add a Fiscal Analysis Division to perform research and provide information to Nevada’s legislature. It prepared the “Fiscal Note” paragraph for each ballot question. Several are quite unsatisfactory. Statements like “cannot” be determined or even estimated calls into question whether the Division can perform its research and information providing function. I posit that there are many voters, like me, who make voting decisions relying heavily on fiscal data.

Walter Seip
565 N. Los Feliz, 89110
1 November 2018